

National Multi-Agency Coordinating Group

3833 South Development Avenue; Boise, ID 83705

NMAC NOTES

April 28, 2025
1100-1300

Topic	Presenter(s)	Purpose
National Caterer Memo	Maegan Maughan	Discussion
AIMS Surge Incident Purchasing Memo	Russ Long	Discussion
Stratton Products	Shane McDonald	Discussion
2024 CIMT Rationale Criteria	Jesse Bender	Discussion

Attendees:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chair: Shane McDonald	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Co-Chair: Chuck Russell	<input type="checkbox"/> MAC Coordinator:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FWS/AK/SA: Shane McDonald	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USFS/EA/SW: Russ Long	<input type="checkbox"/> USFA/FEMA/NR: Aitor Bidaburu
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BLM/GB: Brad Sawyer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NASF/RM: Greg Smith	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NPS/CA: Chuck Russell
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BIA/NW: Shane Santos	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NICC: Sean Peterson	<input type="checkbox"/> Weather: Jim Wallmann
<input type="checkbox"/> OWF: Kim Van Hemelryck	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Note-taker: Nicki Johnston	<input type="checkbox"/> BAER: Lou Ballard

Additional Attendees: Eric Fransted, Maegan Maughan, Beale Monday, Jesse Bender

NEXT MEETING:

Thursday, 1 May 2025, 1000-1200



National Multi-Agency Coordinating Group

3833 South Development Avenue; Boise, ID 83705

Admin Topics

National Caterer Memo

Finalize memo and distribute today. -Support

AIMS Surge Incident Purchasing Memo

Concern with interagency BUYT differences for 2025.

VIPR contracting services will remain unchanged.

DOI will be discussing purchasing alternatives this week.

Single-jurisdictional land-use agreements and EERAs for non-VIPR equipment are concerns.

Multi-jurisdictional incidents should be able to continue utilizing AIMS.

Invite national BUYT Coordinators and SMEs for discussion on Thursday, May 1. -Revisit

Stratton Products

Maegan, Sean, and Shane will follow up with NICC PS. -Revisit May 5.

2024 CIMT Rationale Criteria

CIMTs demobilizing are not counted as committed in IROC.

Inconsistencies were seen in requiring rationales based on PL.

Criteria needed for when and why IMT rationales are required.

CIMT availability was not maintained through July and August.

3/60 CIMT rationales were denied, with 8 CIMTs UTFd.

Each CIMT UTF received a CIMT within a couple of days of the UTF.

Contradicting data between number of CIMTs thought to be available and CIMTs actually available was problematic.

National disasters such as hurricanes alter the outlook for team assignment criteria.

“Closest forces” should be tied to emerging incidents.

The national rotation should be followed until the shortage of CIMTs and incident activity level dictates otherwise.

Consider the best time of day to make resource allocation decisions.

Consider ongoing CIMT allocation vs demobilization based on fire activity and containment levels.

Consider the oversight level of NMAC for all national resources.

CIMT rationale form will be required at PL4. -Support

CIMT rationales will be completed by and routed through the GA rather than local centers to NMAC.

Should complexity and CIMT roster size be considered?

GAs should distribute the rosters of their CIMTs to ensure all IMTs are successful.

Revisit CIMT criteria questions to consider. -May 12

Meeting Schedule

NMAC will meet/complete working sessions three times a week.

