RATIONALE FOR ASSIGNING/REQUESTING INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAMS

Incident Name:	Incident/Unit ID:
Current IMT Organization (Area Command, NIMO, Type 1, 2, 3, or 4):	IMT Organization Requested (Area Command, NIMO, Type 1, 2, 3, or 4):
Date Needed:	Time Needed:

The following are considerations that should be addressed in composing rationale for the NMAC:

Life Threatening Situations (firefighter or public safety)

- Evacuations currently taking place
- Advisory evacuations

- Evacuation plans in place
- Road, highway, or interstate closures

Real Property Threatened

- Number of structures, commercial and/or residences
- Number of subdivisions
- Name communities and population

- Historically significant cultural resources
- Natural resources, such as crops, grazing, timber, watershed
- Major power lines, energy sources or other infrastructure

RATIONALE FOR ASSIGNING/REQUESTING INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAMS

High	Damage	Potentia
------	---------------	-----------------

High Damage Potential	
 Long-/short-term damage potential 	 Plausible impacts on community
an call of	
Management Alternatives	
What alternatives have been considered for managing this in	ncident (i.e., complexing with other incidents or having IMTs
manage multiple fires)?	
Incident Complexity	
Multi-jurisdictional	Political situations
Fuel type, size and growth potential	 Severity, extreme fire behavior and fuels conditions
,, ,	,,

RATIONALE FOR ASSIGNING/REQUESTING INCIDENT MANAGEMENT TEAMS

Request for Area Command or NIMO Team

Geographic Area

The GMAC or the GACG should address the following:

- An assessment of the risks/threats which warrant the use of an Area Command to include political sensitivities and management implications, general size of the area of responsibility and complexity.
- A description of the proposed assignment for the Area Command Team.

Coordinating Gro	up			
Chair/Delegate C	oncurrence:			
For NMAC Use Only				
Approved	Not Approved	NMAC Chair Signature:		
Bationals:				
Rationale:				